

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCIPATED ARTMENT OF COMMERCIPATED ARTMEN

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			
	08/855,387	05/13/9	7 CHILTON		[]	3747-021	
-	BRINKS HOFER GILSON AND		IM31/0820	\neg	EXAMINER		
					HRUSKOCI,F		
	1130 EDISC				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	TOLEDO OH	43604-1537			1724	6	
					DATE MAILED:	08/20/98	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	, , ,			
Office Action Summary	08/855,38	on etal.			
	Examiner HRUS	KOCI	Group Art Unit 1724		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	beneath the d	orrespondence add	ress	
Period for Response		7			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	IS SET TO EXPIRE	MON	TH(S) FROM THE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) or If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response 	lays, a response within the statu y default, expire SIX (6) MONTH	itory minimum of IS from the mailir	thirty (30) days will be cong date of this communica	nsidered time	
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	7-16-98			_	
This action is FINAL.				, •	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,			o the merits is close	d in	
Disposition of Claims					
\star Claim(s) $/-2/$	•	is/are	is/are pending in the application.		
•	is/are	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
□ Claim(s)	is/are	is/are allowed.			
□ Claim(s)	is/are	is/are rejected.			
☐ Claim(s)					
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		•			
Application Papers			rement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-948.				
The proposed drawing correction, filed on 7-16	98 is Mapproved	☐ disapprove	ed.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	· ·				
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.				
•	r.				
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies 	y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a				
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. 	y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a s of the priority documents	have been			
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies 	y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a s of the priority documents mber)	have been			
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers) 	y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a s of the priority documents mber) International Bureau (PCT	have been Rule 1 7.2(a))	•		
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the 	y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a s of the priority documents mber) International Bureau (PCT	have been Rule 1 7.2(a))	•		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a s of the priority documents mber) International Bureau (PCT	Rule 1 7.2(a))	•		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a s of the priority documents mber) International Bureau (PCT	Rule 1 7.2(a))	· 	n, PTO-152	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710

Part of Paper No.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/855,387

Art Unit: 1724

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Chilton et al. in view of Stoyell et al.. Chilton et al. disclose (see col. 2 line 44 through
 col. 5 line 60) a fuel filter assembly substantially as claimed. The claims differ from
 Chilton et al. by reciting the assembly includes an outer layer of extruded mesh. Stoyell
 et al. disclose (see col. 5 line 44 through col. 7 line 60, and col. 18 line 54 through col. 20
 line 41) that it is known in the art to utilize an outer layer of extruded mesh to improve
 distribution of fluid along the surface of a filter medium. It would have been obvious to
 one skilled in the art to modify the assembly of Chilton et al. by including an outer layer
 extruded mesh in view of the teachings of Stoyell et al., to improve distribution of fuel
 along the surface of the filter assembly. The specific types of filaments and bonds used
 to form the extruded mesh would have been an obvious matter of engineering design to
 one skilled in the art, depending on the specific fuel treated and results desired, absent a
 sufficient showing of unexpected results.

Application/Control Number: 08/855,387 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

- 3. Applicants argue that Chilton et al. is commonly owned by the same assignee and is not considered prior art under 35 USC 102 (b). It is submitted that Chilton et al. has a different inventive entity from the instant application and qualifies as prior art under 35 USC 102 (e). It is further submitted that the above rejection might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the patent was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131. This rejection may not be overcome by the filing of a terminal disclaimer. See *In re Bartfeld*, 925 F.2d 1450, 17 USPQ2d 1885 (Fed. Cir. 1991).
- 4. Applicants arguments concerning Stoyell et al. are based on the propriety of Chilton et al., which is deemed properly applied for reasons stated above.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

Application/Control Number: 08/855,387 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Peter A. Hruskoci whose telephone number is (703) 308-

3839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM

to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Jay Woo, can be reached on (703) 308-3793. The fax phone number for

this Group is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Peter A. Hruskoci Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1724

P. Hruskoci August 19, 1998